REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication:	Aurora Snow Vs Gauge Disc 1
Other Known Title:	Not Stated
Format:	DVD
Director/Author:	Jules Jordan
Producer/Publisher:	Jules Jordan
Country of Origin:	USA
Language:	English
Applicant:	The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.
Distributor:	Calvista NZ Ltd
Classification:	The unexcised version of this publication is classified as **Objectionable.** The excised version of this publication is classified as **Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.**
Descriptive Note:	Not Applicable
Display Conditions:	Not Applicable
Date of entry in Register:	29 March 2006
Date of direction to issue a label:	Not Applicable
OFLC No:	502143

Unexcised version of publication:

	Component	Running time
Menu:	Play Scenes Extras	
Feature:	Aurora Snow Vs Gauge Disc 1	135.23
Other timed components:	Cumshot Review	19.08

Other components:	Copyright Warning Notice Contents Of Disc 2 Photo Gallery Cast List Filmographies Biography	
Total running time:		154.31

Excisions: Excisions Recommended But Not Made

Reasons for excisions:

Excisions are required to remove sequences that lower the high extent in which the publication degrades, dehumanises and demeans the women participants. The sequences present the women as mere sexual orifices for the novelty and titillation of the male viewer. By making a spectacle of this conduct and objectifying them, the publication degrades, dehumanises and demeans these women, and by implication women generally, to a very high extent and degree.

Summary of reasons for classification:

The DVD entitled *Aurora Snow Vs Gauge Disc 1* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The publication is the first disc in a two disc set containing compilations of hard-edged sexual activity by adult filmmaker Jules Jordan, featuring two women performers. A large number of scenes on this disc make a spectacle of highly degrading, dehumanising and demeaning conduct. The sequences present the women as mere sexual orifices for the novelty and titillation of the male viewer. By making a spectacle of this conduct and objectifying them, the publication degrades, dehumanises and demeans these women, and women generally, to a very high extent and degree.

The Classification Office required excisions to be made to the publication. If the excisions were made the classification would be "Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years." The distributor declined to make the excisions therefore the publication is classified as objectionable.

The Classification Office has considered the effects of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act (1990) on the application of the classification criteria. The classification interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to prevent the availability of publications that depict degrading, dehumanising and demeaning sexual conduct to an extent and degree that they are likely to be injurious to the public good.